arXiv:1301.4725v1 [math.KT] 21 Jan 2013

ON THE Q CONSTRUCTION FOR EXACT ∞-CATEGORIES

CLARK BARWICK

We prove that the K-theory of an exact ∞-category [2] can be computed via an ∞-categorical variant of the Q construction. This construction yields a quasicategory whose weak homotopy type is a delooping of the K-theory space (Th. 1.17). Moreover, we show that the direct sum endows this homotopy type with the structure of a infinite loop space, which agrees with the canonical one (Th. 2.6).

1. The Q construction

In this section, we construct, for any exact ∞-category $\mathscr{C}$, an ∞-category $Q(\mathscr{C})$ whose underlying simplicial set is a (single) delooping of $K(\mathscr{C})$. The construction proceeds along the same principles as the ones used by Quillen; namely, we construct an ∞-category $Q(\mathscr{C})$ whose objects are the objects of $\mathscr{C}$, whose morphisms from X to Y are "spans"

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& U \arrow[dl, two heads] \arrow[dr, tail] & \\
X & & Y
\end{tikzcd}$$

in which the morphism $U \twoheadrightarrow X$ is egressive and the morphism $U \rightarrowtail Y$ is ingressive, and whose composition law is given by the formation of pullbacks:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& & W \arrow[dl, two heads] \arrow[dr, tail] & & \\
& U \arrow[dl, two heads] \arrow[dr, tail] & \diamond & V \arrow[dl, two heads] \arrow[dr, tail] & \\
X & & Y & & Z
\end{tikzcd}$$

Some new machinery is involved in relating the Q construction to our $\mathscr{S}$ construction. To codify their relationship, we form a Reedy fibrant straightening $\mathbf{Q}_*(\mathscr{C})$ of an edgewise subdivision of the left fibration $\iota_{N\Delta^{\mathrm{op}}}\mathscr{S}\mathscr{C} \longrightarrow N\Delta^{\mathrm{op}}$; then we show that $\mathbf{Q}_*(\mathscr{C})$ is in fact a *complete Segal space* in the sense of Charles Rezk [8], whence by a theorem of André Joyal and Myles Tierney [4], the simplicial set $Q\mathscr{C}$, whose n-simplices may be identified with the vertices of the simplicial set $\mathbf{Q}_n(\mathscr{C})$, is a quasicategory whose underlying simplicial set is equivalent to the geometric realization of $\mathbf{Q}_*(\mathscr{C})$, which is in turn equivalent to $\iota_{N\Delta^{\mathrm{op}}}\mathscr{S}\mathscr{C}$.

1.1. **Proposition.** *The following are equivalent for a functor $\theta\colon \Delta \longrightarrow \Delta$.*

(1.1.1) The functor $\theta^{\mathrm{op}}\colon N\Delta^{\mathrm{op}} \longrightarrow N\Delta^{\mathrm{op}}$ is cofinal in the sense of Joyal [5, Df. 4.1.1.1].

(1.1.2) The induced endofunctor $\theta^{\star}\colon s\mathbf{Set} \longrightarrow s\mathbf{Set}$ on the ordinary category of simplicial sets (so that $(\theta^{\star}X)_n = X_{\theta(n)}$) carries every standard simplex Δ^m to a weakly contractible simplicial set.

(1.1.3) The induced endofunctor $\theta^{\star}\colon s\mathbf{Set} \longrightarrow s\mathbf{Set}$ on the ordinary category of simplicial sets is a left Quillen functor for the usual Quillen model structure.

Proof. By Joyal's variant of Quillen's Theorem A [5, Th. 4.1.3.1], the functor θ^{op} is cofinal just in case, for any integer $m \geq 0$, the nerve $N(\theta/\mathbf{m})$ is weakly contractible. The category $(\theta/\mathbf{m})$ is clearly equivalent to the category of simplices of $\theta^\star(\Delta^m)$, whose nerve is weakly equivalent to $\theta^\star(\Delta^m)$. This proves the equivalence of the first two conditions.

It is clear that for any functor $\theta\colon \Delta \longrightarrow \Delta$, the induced functor $\theta^\star\colon s\mathbf{Set} \longrightarrow s\mathbf{Set}$ preserves monomorphisms. Hence $\theta^\star$ is left Quillen just in case it preserves weak equivalences. Hence if $\theta^\star$ is left Quillen, then it carries the map $\Delta^n \xrightarrow{\sim} \Delta^0$ to an equivalence $\theta^\star\Delta^n \xrightarrow{\sim} \theta^\star\Delta^0 \cong \Delta^0$, and, conversely, if $\theta^{\mathrm{op}}\colon N\Delta^{\mathrm{op}} \longrightarrow N\Delta^{\mathrm{op}}$ is cofinal, then for any weak equivalence $X \xrightarrow{\sim} Y$, the induced map $\theta^\star X \longrightarrow \theta^\star Y$ factors as

$$\theta^\star X \simeq \operatorname{hocolim}_n X_{\theta(n)} \simeq \operatorname{hocolim}_n X_n \simeq X \xrightarrow{\sim} Y \simeq \operatorname{hocolim}_n Y_n \simeq \operatorname{hocolim}_n Y_{\theta(n)} \simeq \theta^\star Y,$$

which is a weak equivalence. This proves the equivalence of the third condition with the first two. ☐

1.2. **Definition.** Let us call any functor $\theta\colon \Delta \longrightarrow \Delta$ satisfying the equivalent conditions above a *combinatorial subdivision.*

A combinatorial subdivision can be used to modify our construction of K-theory in the following manner.

1.3. **Construction.** Suppose $\theta\colon \Delta \longrightarrow \Delta$ a combinatorial subdivision. Then pullback along θ defines an endofunctor $\theta^\star\colon \mathbf{Wald}^{\mathrm{cocart}}_{\infty/N\Delta^{\mathrm{op}}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Wald}^{\mathrm{cocart}}_{\infty/N\Delta^{\mathrm{op}}}$; since θ^{op} is cofinal, it is clear that the following diagram commutes, up to equivalence:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbf{Wald}^{\mathrm{cocart}}_{\infty/N\Delta^{\mathrm{op}}} \arrow[rr, "\theta^\star"] \arrow[dr, "|\cdot|_{N\Delta^{\mathrm{op}}}"'] & & \mathbf{Wald}^{\mathrm{cocart}}_{\infty/N\Delta^{\mathrm{op}}} \arrow[dl, "|\cdot|_{N\Delta^{\mathrm{op}}}"] \\
& \mathbf{VWald}_\infty &
\end{tikzcd}$$

In particular, the additivization of any pre-additive theory ϕ with left derived functor Φ can be computed as

$$D\phi(\mathscr{C}) \simeq \Omega\Phi|\theta^\star\mathscr{S}(\mathscr{C})|.$$

The motivating example of a combinatorial subdivision is the following.

1.4. **Example.** Denote by $\epsilon\colon \Delta \longrightarrow \Delta$ the combinatorial subdivision $[\mathbf{n}] \longmapsto [\mathbf{n}]^{\mathrm{op}} \star [\mathbf{n}] \cong [\mathbf{2n+1}]$. Including $[\mathbf{n}]$ into either factor of the join $[\mathbf{n}]^{\mathrm{op}} \star [\mathbf{n}]$ (either contravariantly or covariantly) defines two natural transformations $\mathrm{op} \longrightarrow \epsilon$ and $\mathrm{id} \longrightarrow \epsilon$. This functor induces an endofunctor $\epsilon^\star$ on the ordinary category of simplicial sets, together with natural transformations $\epsilon^\star \longrightarrow \mathrm{op}$ and $\epsilon^\star \longrightarrow \mathrm{id}$.

For any simplicial set X, the *edgewise subdivision* of X is the simplicial set

$$\widetilde{\mathscr{O}}(X) := \epsilon^\star X.$$

That is, $\widetilde{\mathscr{O}}(X)$ is given by the formula

$$\widetilde{\mathscr{O}}(X)_n = \operatorname{Mor}(\Delta^{n,\mathrm{op}} \star \Delta^n, X) \cong X_{2n+1}.$$

The two natural transformations described above give rise to a morphism

$$\widetilde{\mathscr{O}}(X) \longrightarrow X^{\mathrm{op}} \times X,$$

functorial in X.

1.5. For any simplicial set X, the vertices of $\widetilde{\mathscr{O}}(X)$ are edges of X; an edge of $\widetilde{\mathscr{O}}(X)$ from $u \longrightarrow v$ to $x \longrightarrow y$ can be viewed as a commutative diagram (up to chosen homotopy)

$$\begin{tikzcd}
u \arrow[d] & x \arrow[l] \arrow[d] \\
v \arrow[r] & y
\end{tikzcd}$$

When X is the nerve of an ordinary category C, $\widetilde{\mathscr{O}}(X)$ is isomorphic to the nerve of the twisted arrow category of C in the sense of [3]. When X is an ∞-category, we will therefore call $\widetilde{\mathscr{O}}(X)$ the *twisted arrow ∞-category* of X. This terminology is justified by the following.

1.6. **Proposition** (Lurie, [6, Pr. 4.2.3])**.** *If X is an ∞-category, then the functor $\widetilde{\mathscr{O}}(X) \longrightarrow X^{\mathrm{op}} \times X$ is a left fibration; in particular, $\widetilde{\mathscr{O}}(X)$ is an ∞-category.*

1.7. **Example.** To illustrate, for any object $\mathbf{p} \in \Delta$, the ∞-category $\widetilde{\mathscr{O}}(\Delta^p)$ is the nerve of the category

$$\begin{tikzcd}[column sep=small]
 & & & & & 0\overline{0} & & & & & \\
 & & & & 0\overline{1} \arrow[ur] & & 1\overline{0} \arrow[ul] & & & & \\
 & & & \iddots \arrow[ur] & & \iddots \arrow[ul] \arrow[ur] & & \ddots \arrow[ul] & & & \\
 & & 02 \arrow[ur] & & 13 \arrow[ul] \arrow[ur] & & \overline{32} \arrow[ul] \arrow[ur] & & \overline{20} \arrow[ul] & & \\
 & 01 \arrow[ur] & & 12 \arrow[ul] \arrow[ur] & & \iddots \arrow[ul] \arrow[ur] & & \overline{21} \arrow[ul] \arrow[ur] & & \overline{10} \arrow[ul] & \\
00 \arrow[ur] & & 11 \arrow[ul] \arrow[ur] & & 22 \arrow[ul] \arrow[ur] & & \overline{22} \arrow[ul] \arrow[ur] & & \overline{11} \arrow[ul] \arrow[ur] & & \overline{00} \arrow[ul]
\end{tikzcd}$$

(Here we write $\overline{n}$ for $p - n$.)

We now use the edgewise subdivision to define a quasicategorical variant of Quillen's Q construction. To do this, it is convenient to use the theory of marked simplicial sets and the cocartesian model structure. As a result, in the definition and proposition that follow, we will use some of the notation of [5]. This notation unfortunately conflicts with our established notational conventions for minimal and maximal pairs and triples, but hopefully no confusion will arise.

1.8. **Definition.** For any marked simplicial set X, denote by $\mathbf{R}_*(X) \colon \Delta^{\mathrm{op}} \longrightarrow s\mathbf{Set}$ the functor given by the assignment

$$[\mathbf{n}] \longmapsto \mathrm{Map}^{\sharp}(\widetilde{\mathscr{O}}(\Delta^n)^{\mathrm{op},\flat}, X).$$

1.9. **Proposition.** *The functor $\mathbf{R}_* \colon s\mathbf{Set}^{+}_{\mathrm{cocart}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Fun}(\Delta^{\mathrm{op}}, s\mathbf{Set})_{\mathrm{Reedy}}$ preserves fibrant objects and weak equivalences between them.*

Proof. We first show that for any ∞-category C, the simplicial space $\mathbf{R}_*(C^{\natural})$ is Reedy fibrant. This is the condition that for any monomorphism $K \hookrightarrow L$, the map

$$\mathrm{Map}^{\sharp}(\widetilde{\mathscr{O}}(L)^{\mathrm{op},\flat}, C^{\natural}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Map}^{\sharp}(\widetilde{\mathscr{O}}(K)^{\mathrm{op},\flat}, C^{\natural})$$

is a Kan fibration of simplicial sets. This follows immediately from Pr. 1.1 and [5, Lm. 3.1.3.6]. To see that $\mathbf{R}_*$ preserves weak equivalences between fibrant objects, we note that for any ∞-category C, the simplicial set $\mathrm{Map}^{\sharp}(\widetilde{\mathscr{O}}(\Delta^n)^{\mathrm{op},\flat}, C^{\natural})$ can be identified with the Kan complex $\iota\,\mathrm{Fun}(\widetilde{\mathscr{O}}(\Delta^n)^{\mathrm{op}}, C)$, which clearly respects weak equivalences in C. $\square$

1.10. **Definition.** Suppose $\mathscr{C}$ an exact ∞-category. For any integer $n \geq 0$, let us say that a functor $X \colon \widetilde{\mathscr{O}}(\Delta^n) \longrightarrow \mathscr{C}$ is *ambigressive* if, for any integers $0 \leq i \leq k \leq \ell \leq j \leq n$, the square

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X_{ij} \arrow[r, tail] \arrow[d, two heads] & X_{kj} \arrow[d, two heads] \\
X_{i\ell} \arrow[r, tail] & X_{k\ell}
\end{tikzcd}$$

is an ambigressive pullback.

Write $\mathbf{Q}_*(\mathscr{C}) \subset \mathbf{R}_*(\mathscr{C})$ for the subfunctor in which $\mathbf{Q}_n(\mathscr{C})$ is the full simplicial subset of $\mathbf{R}_n(\mathscr{C})$ spanned by the ambigressive functors $X \colon \widetilde{\mathscr{O}}(\Delta^n) \longrightarrow \mathscr{C}$. Note that since any functor that is equivalent to an ambigressive functor is itself ambigressive, the simplicial set $\mathbf{Q}_n(\mathscr{C})$ is a union of connected components of $\mathbf{R}_n(\mathscr{C})$.

1.11. **Proposition.** *For any exact ∞-category $\mathscr{C}$, the simplicial space $\mathbf{Q}_*(\mathscr{C})$ is a complete Segal space.*

Proof. The Reedy fibrancy of $\mathbf{Q}_*(\mathscr{C})$ follows easily from the Reedy fibrancy of $\mathbf{R}_*(\mathscr{C})$.

To see that $\mathbf{Q}_*(\mathscr{C})$ is a Segal space, it is necessary to show that for any integer $n \geq 1$, the Segal map

$$\mathbf{Q}_n(\mathscr{C}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Q}_1(\mathscr{C}) \times_{\mathbf{Q}_0(\mathscr{C})} \cdots \times_{\mathbf{Q}_0(\mathscr{C})} \mathbf{Q}_1(\mathscr{C})$$

is an equivalence. Let L_n denote the ordinary category

$$00 \longleftarrow 01 \longrightarrow 11 \longleftarrow 12 \longrightarrow \cdots (n-1)(n-1) \longleftarrow (n-1)n \longrightarrow nn;$$

equip NL_n with the triple structure in which the maps $(i-1)i \longrightarrow ii$ are ingressive, and the maps $i(i+1) \longrightarrow ii$ are egressive. The target of the Segal map can then be identified with the maximal Kan complex contained in the ∞-category

$$\mathrm{Fun}^{\flat}_{\mathbf{Trip}_\infty}(NL_n, \mathscr{C}).$$

The Segal map is therefore an equivalence by the uniqueness of limits in ∞-categories [5, Pr. 1.2.12.9].

Finally, to check that $\mathbf{Q}_*(\mathscr{C})$ is complete, let E be the nerve of the contractible ordinary groupoid with two objects; then completeness is equivalent to the assertion that the Rezk map

$$\mathbf{Q}_0(\mathscr{C}) \longrightarrow \lim_{[\mathbf{n}] \in (\Delta/E)^{\mathrm{op}}} \mathbf{Q}_n(\mathscr{C})$$

is a weak equivalence. The source of this map can be identified with $\iota\mathscr{C}$; its target can be identified with the full simplicial subset of

$$\iota\,\mathrm{Fun}(\widetilde{\mathscr{O}}(E)^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathscr{C})$$

spanned by those functors $X \colon \widetilde{\mathscr{O}}(E)^{\mathrm{op}} \longrightarrow \mathscr{C}$ such that for any simplex $\Delta^n \longrightarrow E$, the induced functor $\widetilde{\mathscr{O}}(\Delta^n)^{\mathrm{op}} \longrightarrow \mathscr{C}$ is ambigressive. Note that the twisted arrow category of the contractible ordinary groupoid with two objects is the contractible ordinary groupoid with four objects. Consequently, the image of any functor $X \colon \widetilde{\mathscr{O}}(E)^{\mathrm{op}} \longrightarrow \mathscr{C}$ is contained in $\iota\mathscr{C}$, whence X is automatically ambigressive. Thus the target of the Rezk map can be identified with $\iota\,\mathrm{Fun}(\widetilde{\mathscr{O}}(E)^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathscr{C})$ itself, and the Rezk map is an equvalence. $\square$

Note that this result does not require the full strength of the condition that $\mathscr{C}$ be an exact ∞-category; it requires only that $\mathscr{C}$ admit a triple structure in which ambigressive pullbacks exist, the ambigressive pullback of an ingressive morphism is ingressive, and the ambigressive pullback of an egressive morphism is egressive.

1.12**.** It is now clear that $\mathbf{Q}_*$ defines a relative functor $\mathbf{Exact}^0_\infty \longrightarrow \mathbf{CSS}^0$, where $\mathbf{CSS}^\Delta \subset \mathrm{Fun}(\Delta^{\mathrm{op}}, s\mathbf{Set})$ is the full simplicial subcategory spanned by complete Segal spaces (and $\mathbf{CSS}^0$ is its category of 0-simplices). It therefore defines a functor of ∞-categories $\mathbf{Q}_*\colon \mathbf{Exact}_\infty \longrightarrow \mathbf{CSS}$. We may also regard $\mathbf{Q}_*$ as a functor $\Delta^{\mathrm{op}} \times \mathbf{Exact}^0_\infty \longrightarrow s\mathbf{Set}$.

Now we aim to show that for an exact ∞-category $\mathscr{C}$, the simplicial space $\mathbf{Q}_*(\mathscr{C})$ is a straightening of the left fibration $\iota_{N\Delta^{\mathrm{op}}}\epsilon^\star\mathscr{S}\mathscr{C} \longrightarrow N\Delta^{\mathrm{op}}$.

1.13. **Definition.** For any integer $n \geq 0$, denote by $\tau_n\colon \widetilde{\mathscr{O}}(\Delta^n)^{\mathrm{op}} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O}(\Delta^{n,\mathrm{op}} \star \Delta^n)$ the fully faithful functor obtained as the nerve of the ordinary functor that carries an object $i \longrightarrow j$ of the twisted arrow category of $[\mathbf{n}]$ to the object $j \longrightarrow i$ of the arrow category of $[\mathbf{n}]^{\mathrm{op}} \star [\mathbf{n}]$, where j is regarded as an object of $[\mathbf{n}]^{\mathrm{op}}$, and i is regarded as an object of $[\mathbf{n}]$.

It is easy to verify that the functors τ_n are compatible with all face and degeneracy maps, so they fit together to form a natural transformation $\tau\colon \mathrm{op} \circ \widetilde{\mathscr{O}} \longrightarrow \mathscr{O} \circ \epsilon$ of functors $\Delta \longrightarrow \mathbf{Trip}_\infty$.

Once again we employ the uniqueness of limits and colimits in ∞-categories [5, Pr. 1.2.12.9] to deduce the following.

1.14. **Proposition.** *The natural transformation τ induces an equivalence*

$$\iota \circ \epsilon^\star \widetilde{\mathbf{S}}_* \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{T}_*,$$

where $\widetilde{\mathbf{S}}_*$ is the functor described in [2, 4.16]*.*

1.14.1. **Corollary.** *There is a natural equivalence $K(\mathscr{C}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Omega|\mathbf{Q}_*(\mathscr{C})|$ for any exact ∞-category $\mathscr{C}$.*

Joyal and Tierney show that the functor that carries a simplicial space $\mathbf{X}$ to the simplicial set whose n-simplices are the vertices of $\mathbf{X}_n$ induces an equivalence of relative categories $\mathbf{CSS}^0 \longrightarrow \mathbf{Cat}^0_\infty$. This leads us to the following definition and theorem.

1.15. **Definition.** For any exact ∞-category $\mathscr{C}$, denote by $Q(\mathscr{C})$ the ∞-category whose n-simplices are vertices of $\mathbf{Q}_n(\mathscr{C})$, i.e., ambigressive functors $\widetilde{\mathscr{O}}(\Delta^n)^{\mathrm{op}} \longrightarrow \mathscr{C}$. This defines a relative functor $Q\colon \mathbf{Exact}^0_\infty \longrightarrow \mathbf{Cat}^0_\infty$ and hence a functor of ∞-categories $Q\colon \mathbf{Exact}_\infty \longrightarrow \mathbf{Cat}_\infty$.

1.16**.** For any exact ∞-category, an n-simplex of $Q(\mathscr{C})$ is a diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& & & & & X_{0\bar{0}} \arrow[dl] \arrow[dr, tail] & & & & & \\
& & & & X_{0\bar{1}} \arrow[dl] \arrow[dr, tail] & \Diamond & X_{1\bar{0}} \arrow[dl] \arrow[dr, tail] & & & & \\
& & & \iddots \arrow[dl] \arrow[dr, tail] & \Diamond & \ddots\!\!\!\iddots \arrow[dl] \arrow[dr, tail] & \Diamond & \ddots \arrow[dl] \arrow[dr, tail] & & & \\
& & X_{03} \arrow[dl] \arrow[dr, tail] & \Diamond & X_{14} \arrow[dl] \arrow[dr, tail] & \Diamond & X_{\overline{42}} \arrow[dl] \arrow[dr, tail] & \Diamond & X_{\overline{20}} \arrow[dl] \arrow[dr, tail] & & \\
& X_{01} \arrow[dl] \arrow[dr, tail] & \Diamond & X_{12} \arrow[dl] \arrow[dr, tail] & \Diamond & \ddots\!\!\!\iddots \arrow[dl] \arrow[dr, tail] & \Diamond & X_{\overline{21}} \arrow[dl] \arrow[dr, tail] & \Diamond & X_{\overline{10}} \arrow[dl] \arrow[dr, tail] & \\
X_{00} & & X_{11} & & X_{22} & & X_{\overline{22}} & & X_{\overline{11}} & & X_{\overline{00}}
\end{tikzcd}$$

of $\mathscr{C}$ in which every square is an ambigressive pullback/pushout. (Here we write $\overline{n}$ for $p - n$.)

1.17. **Theorem.** *There is a natural equivalence* $K(\mathscr{C}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Omega Q(\mathscr{C})$ *for any exact* ∞*-category* $\mathscr{C}$*.*

Thus exact ∞-categories give rise to quasicategories that model algebraic K-theory. The following result shows that exact functors give rise to inner fibrations between these quasicategories.

1.18. **Proposition.** *Suppose* $\psi\colon \mathscr{C} \longrightarrow \mathscr{D}$ *an exact functor between exact* ∞*-categories that is a categorical fibration. Then the induced map* $Q(\psi)\colon Q(\mathscr{C}) \longrightarrow Q(\mathscr{D})$ *is a categorical fibration.*

Proof. Suppose $n \geq 2$, and suppose $1 \leq k \leq n-1$. A commutative square

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Lambda^n_k \arrow[r] \arrow[d, hook] & Q(\mathscr{C}) \arrow[d, "\psi"] \\
\Delta^n \arrow[r] & Q(\mathscr{D})
\end{tikzcd}$$

corresponds to a commutative square

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\widetilde{\mathscr{O}}(\Lambda^n_k) \arrow[r, "X"] \arrow[d, hook] & \mathscr{C} \arrow[d, "\psi"] \\
\widetilde{\mathscr{O}}(\Delta^n) \arrow[r, "Y"'] & \mathscr{D}
\end{tikzcd}$$

in which X and Y are ambigressive in the obvious sense. One now easily verifies that (the opposite of) condition of [5, Lm. 4.3.2.13(2)] is verified, whence there exists a lift $\widetilde{\mathscr{O}}(\Delta^n) \longrightarrow \mathscr{C}$ that is a ψ-right Kan extension of X. The proof is now complete by [5, Pr. 2.4.6.5]. $\square$

As a final note, let us note that the ∞-categorical Q construction we have introduced here is an honest generalization of Quillen's original Q construction.

1.19. **Proposition.** *If* C *is an ordinary exact category, then* $Q(NC)$ *is canonically equivalent to the nerve* $N(QC)$ *of Quillen's* Q *construction.*

Proof. Unwinding the definitions, we find that the simplicial set $\mathrm{Hom}^R_{Q(NC)}(X, Y)$ of [5, §1.2.2] is isomorphic to the nerve of the groupoid $G(X, Y)$ in which an object Z is a diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& Z \arrow[dl, two heads] \arrow[dr, tail] & \\
X & & Y,
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $Z \twoheadrightarrow X$ is an admissible epimorphism and $Z \rightarrowtail Y$ is an admissible monomorphism, and in which a morphism $Z' \longrightarrow Z$ is a diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& Z' \arrow[dl, two heads] \arrow[dr, tail] \arrow[dd, "\sim"'] & \\
X & & Y \\
& Z \arrow[ul, two heads] \arrow[ur, tail] & .
\end{tikzcd}$$

It is now immediate that $N(QC)$ is equivalent to the homotopy category of $Q(NC)$, and so it suffices by [5, Pr. 2.3.4.18] to verify that every connected component of the groupoid $G(X, Y)$ is contractible. For this, we simply note that if f, g are two morphisms $Z' \longrightarrow Z$ of $G(X, Y)$, then $f = g$ since $Z \rightarrowtail Y$ is a monomorphism. $\square$

2. An infinite delooping of Q

In this section, we describe an infinite delooping of the Q construction of the previous section. In effect, the direct sum on an exact ∞-category $\mathscr{C}$ induces a symmetric monoidal structure on the ∞-category $Q(\mathscr{C})$. Segal's delooping machine then applies to give an infinite delooping of the Q construction. We will then show that this delooping coincides with the canonical one from [1, Cor. 7.4.1] by appealing to the Additivity Theorem [1, Th. 7.2]. We thank Lars Hesselholt for his questions about such a delooping.

It is convenient to introduce some ordinary categories that control the combinatorics of direct sums.

2.1. **Notation.** Denote by Γ^{op} the following ordinary category. An object of Γ^{op} is a finite set; a morphism $J \longrightarrow I$ of Γ^{op} is a map $J \longrightarrow I_+$, or equivalently a pointed map $J_+ \longrightarrow I_+$. Clearly Γ^{op} is isomorphic to the category of pointed finite sets, but we shall regard the objects of Γ^{op} simply as finite (unpointed) sets.

Recall that Γ^{op} admits a symmetric monoidal structure that carries a pair of finite sets to their product. We shall denote this symmetric monoidal structure $(I, J) \longmapsto I \wedge J$.

For any finite set I, denote by L_I the following ordinary category. An object of L_I is a subset $J \subset I$. A morphism from an object $K \subset I$ to an object $J \subset I$ is a map $\psi\colon K \longrightarrow J_+$ such that the square

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\psi^{-1}(J) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & J \arrow[d, hook] \\
K \arrow[r, hook] & I
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes.

Any morphism $\phi\colon I' \longrightarrow I$ of Γ^{op} induces a functor $\phi^\star\colon L_{I'} \longrightarrow L_I$ that carries $J \subset I$ to $\phi^{-1}(J) \subset I'$. With this, one confirms easily that the assignments $I \longmapsto L_I$ and $\phi \longmapsto \phi^\star$ together define a functor $L\colon \Gamma \longrightarrow \mathbf{Cat}$.

If $K \subset J$, then write $i_{K\subset J}$ for the morphism $K \longrightarrow J$ of Γ^{op} given by the inclusion $K \hookrightarrow J_+$, and write $p_{K\subset J}$ for the morphism $J \longrightarrow K$ of Γ^{op} given by the map $J \hookrightarrow K_+$ that carries every $j \in J \setminus K$ to the basepoint, and every element $j \in K$ to itself.

We now proceed to show that an exact ∞-category $\mathscr{C}$ admits an essentially unique symmetric monoidal structure in which all the multiplication functors are exact.

2.2. **Notation.** We denote by $\mathbf{Exact}_\infty^\oplus$ the full subcategory of $\mathrm{Fun}(N\Gamma^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathbf{Exact}_\infty)$ consisting of those functors $\mathscr{X}\colon N\Gamma^{\mathrm{op}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Exact}_\infty$ such that for any finite set I, the functors $\{\mathscr{X}(I) \longrightarrow \mathscr{X}(\{i\})\}_{i\in I}$ induced by the morphisms $p_{\{i\}\subset I}\colon I \longrightarrow \{i\}$ together exhibit the exact ∞-category $\mathscr{X}(I)$ as a product of the exact ∞-categories $\mathscr{X}(\{i\})$. Write $U\colon \mathbf{Exact}_\infty^\oplus \longrightarrow \mathbf{Exact}_\infty$ for the evaluation functor $\mathscr{X} \longmapsto \mathscr{X}(\{1\})$.

In the opposite direction, let us construct a functor $\mathbf{DS}\colon \mathbf{Exact}_\infty \longrightarrow \mathbf{Exact}_\infty^\oplus$. For any finite set I and any exact ∞-category, denote by $\mathbf{DS}(I; \mathscr{C})$ the full subcategory of $\mathrm{Fun}(NL_I, \mathscr{C})$ spanned by those functors $X\colon NL_I \longrightarrow \mathscr{C}$ such that, for any subset $J \subset I$,

(2.3.1) the morphisms $\{X(J) \longrightarrow X(\{j\})\}_{j\in J}$ induced by the morphisms $p_{\{j\}\subset J}\colon J \longrightarrow \{j\}$ of L_I exhibit $X(J)$ as a product of the objects $X(\{j\})$, and,

(2.3.2) dually, the morphisms $\{X(\{j\}) \longrightarrow X(J)\}_{j\in J}$ induced by the morphisms $i_{\{j\}\subset J}\colon \{j\} \longrightarrow J$ of L_I exhibit $X(J)$ as a coproduct of the objects $X(\{j\})$.

It is clear that $(I, \mathscr{C}) \longmapsto \mathbf{DS}(I; \mathscr{C})$ defines a simplicial functor $N\Gamma^{\mathrm{op}} \times \mathbf{Exact}_\infty^\Delta \longrightarrow \mathbf{Cat}_\infty^\Delta$.

Now suppose $\mathscr{C}$ an exact ∞-category. It follows from the uniqueness of limits and colimits in ∞-categories [5, Pr. 1.2.12.9] that for any finite set I, the functors

$$\{\mathbf{DS}(I;\mathscr{C}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{DS}(\{i\},\mathscr{C})\}_{i\in I}$$

induced by the morphisms $p_{\{i\}\subset I}\colon I \longrightarrow \{i\}$ of Γ^{op} exhibit the ∞-category $\mathbf{DS}(I;\mathscr{C})$ as the product of the ∞-categories $\mathbf{DS}(\{i\},\mathscr{C})$, which are each in turn equivalent to $\mathscr{C}$. Consequently the ∞-categories $\mathbf{DS}(I;\mathscr{C})$ are exact ∞-categories, and since direct sum in $\mathscr{C}$ preserves ingressives and any pushouts that exist, one easily confirms that $\mathbf{DS}(-;\mathscr{C})$ is an object of $\mathbf{Exact}_{\infty}^{\oplus}$; this therefore defines a functor $\mathbf{DS}\colon \mathbf{Exact}_{\infty} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Exact}_{\infty}^{\oplus}$.

2.3. **Proposition.** *The functor U exhibits an equivalence $\mathbf{Exact}_{\infty}^{\oplus} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{Exact}_{\infty}$, and the functor $\mathbf{DS}$ exhibits a quasi-inverse to it.*

Proof. In light of the discussion above, it suffices to prove that for any object $\mathscr{X} \in \mathbf{Exact}_{\infty}^{\oplus}$, the cocartesian fibration $\mathscr{X}^{\oplus} \longrightarrow N\Gamma^{\mathrm{op}}$ classified by the composite

$$N\Gamma^{\mathrm{op}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Exact}_{\infty} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Cat}_{\infty}$$

is a cartesian symmetric monoidal ∞-category [7, Df. 2.4.0.1]. It is obvious that it is symmetric monoidal. Furthermore, since the map $\Delta^0 \simeq \mathscr{X}(\varnothing) \longrightarrow \mathscr{X}(\{1\})$ is exact, the unit object is a zero object, and since the functor $\otimes\colon \mathscr{X}(\{1\}) \times \mathscr{X}(\{1\}) \simeq \mathscr{X}(\{1,2\}) \longrightarrow \mathscr{X}(\{1\})$ (induced by the unique map $\{1,2\} \longrightarrow \{1\}_+$ that does not hit the basepoint) is exact, when applied to pullback squares

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X \arrow[r, two heads] \arrow[d, two heads] & 0 \arrow[d, two heads] & \text{and} & Y \arrow[r, two heads] \arrow[d, two heads] & Y \arrow[d, two heads] \\
X \arrow[r, two heads] & 0 & & 0 \arrow[r, two heads] & 0,
\end{tikzcd}$$

it yields a pullback square

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X \otimes Y \arrow[r, two heads] \arrow[d, two heads] & Y \arrow[d, two heads] \\
X \arrow[r, two heads] & 0.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Thus $\mathscr{X}^{\oplus}$ is cartesian. ☐

2.4. We may now lift the Q construction to $\mathbf{Exact}_{\infty}^{\oplus}$. Composition with the functors

$$Q\colon \mathbf{Exact}_{\infty} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Cat}_{\infty} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{Q}_*\colon \mathbf{Exact}_{\infty} \longrightarrow \mathbf{CSS}$$

defines functors

$$\mathrm{Fun}(N\Gamma^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathbf{Exact}_{\infty}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Fun}(N\Gamma^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathbf{Cat}_{\infty}) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{Fun}(N\Gamma^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathbf{Exact}_{\infty}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Fun}(N\Gamma^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathbf{CSS}),$$

which restrict to functors

$$Q^{\oplus}\colon \mathbf{Exact}_{\infty}^{\oplus} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Cat}_{\infty}^{\otimes} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{Q}_*^{\oplus}\colon \mathbf{Exact}_{\infty}^{\oplus} \longrightarrow \mathbf{CSS}^{\otimes}$$

where $\mathbf{Cat}_{\infty}^{\otimes}$ (respectively, $\mathbf{CSS}^{\otimes}$) denotes the full subcategory of $\mathrm{Fun}(N\Gamma^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathbf{Cat}_{\infty})$ (respectively, of $\mathrm{Fun}(N\Gamma^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathbf{CSS})$) spanned by those functors $X\colon N\Gamma^{\mathrm{op}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Cat}_{\infty}$ (respectively, by those functors $X\colon N\Gamma^{\mathrm{op}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{CSS}$) such that for any finite set I, the morphisms $\{X(I) \longrightarrow X(\{i\})\}_{i\in I}$ induced by the morphisms $p_{\{i\}\subset I}\colon I \longrightarrow \{i\}$ together exhibit $X(I)$ as a product of $X(\{i\})$. We thus have commutative squares

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbf{Exact}_\infty^\oplus \arrow[r, "Q^\oplus"] \arrow[d, "U"'] & \mathbf{Cat}_\infty^\otimes \arrow[d, "U"] & \text{and} & \mathbf{Exact}_\infty^\oplus \arrow[r, "\mathbf{Q}_*^\oplus"] \arrow[d, "U"'] & \mathbf{CSS}^\otimes \arrow[d, "U"] \\
\mathbf{Exact}_\infty \arrow[r, "Q"'] & \mathbf{Cat}_\infty & & \mathbf{Exact}_\infty \arrow[r, "\mathbf{Q}_*"'] & \mathbf{CSS}
\end{tikzcd}$$

in which the vertical maps are evaluation at the vertex $\{1\} \in \Gamma^{\mathrm{op}}$.

For any object $\mathscr{X} \in \mathbf{Exact}_\infty^\oplus$, the object $Q^\oplus\mathscr{X} \simeq |\mathbf{Q}_*^\oplus\mathscr{X}|$ is in particular a Γ-space that satisfies the Segal condition and, since the underlying space of $Q\mathscr{X}(\{1\})$ is connected, it is grouplike. Segal's delooping machine therefore provides an infinite delooping of $Q\mathscr{X}(\{1\})$.

Let us now prove that the infinite delooping provided by $Q^\oplus$ agrees with the one guaranteed by [1, Cor. 7.4.1]. Roughly speaking, the approach is the following. For any Γ-space X that satisfies the Segal condition that is grouplike, the n-fold delooping of $X(\{1\})$ can be obtained as the geometric realization of X when precomposed with a certain multisimplicial object $(\Delta^{\mathrm{op}})^{\times n} \longrightarrow \Gamma^{\mathrm{op}}$. We now recall the construction of that multisimplicial object, and we show that when $X = Q^\oplus\mathscr{C}$ for an exact ∞-category $\mathscr{C}$, the n-fold delooping obtained in this way coincides with the iterated $\mathscr{S}$ construction.

2.5. **Notation.** Write $u\colon \Delta^{\mathrm{op}} \longrightarrow \Gamma^{\mathrm{op}}$ for the following functor. For any nonempty totally ordered finite set S, let $u(S)$ be the set of surjective morphisms $S \longrightarrow [\mathbf{1}]$ of Δ. For any map $g\colon S \longrightarrow T$ of Δ, define the map $u(g)\colon u(T) \longrightarrow u(S)_+$ by

$$u(g)(\eta) = \begin{cases} \eta \circ g & \text{if } \eta \circ g \text{ is surjective;} \\ * & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, for any nonnegative integer n, we may define $u^{(n)}\colon (\Delta^{\mathrm{op}})^{\times n} \longrightarrow \Gamma^{\mathrm{op}}$ as the composite

$$(\Delta^{\mathrm{op}})^{\times n} \xrightarrow{u^n} (\Gamma^{\mathrm{op}})^{\times n} \xrightarrow{\wedge^n} \Gamma^{\mathrm{op}}.$$

For any nonempty totally ordered finite set S and any morphism $\alpha\colon [\mathbf{1}] \longrightarrow S$ of Δ, let $\rho_S(\alpha) \subset u(S)$ be the set of retractions of α, i.e., the morphisms $\beta\colon S \longrightarrow [\mathbf{1}]$ such that $\beta \circ \alpha = \mathrm{id}$. For any nonnegative integer n, denote by $O\colon \Delta^{\times n} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Cat}$ for the multicosimplicial category given by

$$O(S_1, S_2, \dots, S_n) := \mathrm{Fun}([\mathbf{1}], S_1) \times \mathrm{Fun}([\mathbf{1}], S_2) \times \cdots \times \mathrm{Fun}([\mathbf{1}], S_n),$$

and write $\rho\colon O \longrightarrow u^{(n),\mathrm{op},\star}L$ for the natural transformation given by

$$\rho_{S_1,S_2,\dots,S_n}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n) := \rho_{S_1}(\alpha_1) \wedge \rho_{S_2}(\alpha_2) \wedge \cdots \wedge \rho_{S_n}(\alpha_n).$$

This natural transformation induces a natural transformation

$$\rho^\star\colon u^{(n),\mathrm{op}}\mathbf{DS} \longrightarrow \widetilde{\mathbf{S}}_*^{(n)}$$

between functors $(\Delta^{\mathrm{op}})^{\times n} \times \mathbf{Exact}_\infty \longrightarrow \mathbf{Wald}_\infty$. Combined with the natural transformation τ from Df. 1.13, we obtain a natural transformation

$$R\colon u^{(n),\star}\mathbf{Q}_*^\oplus \circ \mathbf{DS} \longrightarrow \iota \circ \epsilon^\star\widetilde{\mathbf{S}}_* \circ \widetilde{\mathbf{S}}_*^{(n)}$$

between functors $\Delta^{\mathrm{op}} \times (\Delta^{\mathrm{op}})^{\times n} \times \mathbf{Exact}_\infty \longrightarrow \mathbf{Wald}_\infty$

The following is an immediate consequence of the Additivity Theorem [1, Th. 7.2].

2.6. **Proposition.** *For any positive integer* n*, the natural transformation* R *above is a natural equivalence*

$$|u^{(n),\star}\mathbf{Q}_*^{\oplus}\circ\mathbf{DS}|\simeq|\iota\circ\epsilon^{\star}\widetilde{\mathbf{S}}_*\circ\widetilde{\mathbf{S}}_*^{(n)}|$$

of functors $\mathbf{Exact}_\infty\longrightarrow\mathbf{Kan}$*.*

In other words, the delooping of algebraic K-theory provided by the $Q^{\oplus}$ construction is naturally equivalent to the canonical delooping obtained in [1, Cor. 7.4.1].

REFERENCES

1. C. Barwick, *On the algebraic K-theory of higher categories. The universal property of Waldhausen K-theory*, Preprint from the web page of the author., April 2012.
2. ______, *On exact ∞-categories and the theorem of the heart*, Preprint from the web page of the author., January 2013.
3. W. G. Dwyer and D. M. Kan, *Function complexes for diagrams of simplicial sets*, Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Indag. Math. **45** (1983), no. 2, 139–147. MR 705421 (85e:55038)
4. A. Joyal and M. Tierney, *Quasi-categories vs Segal spaces*, Categories in algebra, geometry and mathematical physics, Contemp. Math., vol. 431, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2007, pp. 277–326. MR 2342834 (2008k:55037)
5. J. Lurie, *Higher topos theory*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, vol. 170, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2009. MR 2522659 (2010j:18001)
6. ______, *Derived algebraic geometry X. Formal moduli problems*, Preprint from the web page of the author., September 2011.
7. ______, *Higher algebra*, Preprint from the web page of the author., February 2012.
8. C. Rezk, *A model for the homotopy theory of homotopy theory*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **353** (2001), no. 3, 973–1007 (electronic). MR MR1804411 (2002a:55020)

MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BUILDING 2, 77 MASSACHUSETTS AVENUE, CAMBRIDGE, MA 02139-4307, USA

E-mail address: `clarkbar@gmail.com`